

Integral Congruences

To each i, j belonging to some set of integers, attach the integer a_{ij} . For the congruences

$$x_j - x_i \equiv a_{ij} \pmod{(i, j)}$$

to be solvable, we must have

$$a_{ij} + a_{jk} \equiv a_{ik} \pmod{(i, j, k)}$$

for all i, j, k .

Theorem 1 *This condition is sufficient.*

We can generalize the question as follows. Let n be a positive integer and $(a(i_0, \dots, i_n))_{i_0, \dots, i_n \in I}$ a family of integers. For the congruences

$$\sum_j (-1)^j x(i_0, \dots, \widehat{i_j}, \dots, i_n) \equiv a(i_0, \dots, i_n) \pmod{(i_0, \dots, i_n)}$$

to be solvable, we must have

$$\sum_j (-1)^j a(i_0, \dots, \widehat{i_j}, \dots, i_{n+1}) \equiv 0 \pmod{(i_0, \dots, i_{n+1})}$$

for all $i_0, \dots, i_{n+1} \in I$.

Theorem 2 *This condition is sufficient.*

To push the generalization further, let A be an abelian group, $(A_i)_{i \in I}$ a family of subgroups, n a positive integer and $(a(i_0, \dots, i_n))_{i_0, \dots, i_n \in I}$ a family of elements of A . For the congruences

$$\sum_j (-1)^j x(i_0, \dots, \widehat{i_j}, \dots, i_n) \equiv a(i_0, \dots, i_n) \pmod{A_{i_0} + \dots + A_{i_n}}$$

to be solvable, we must have

$$\sum_j (-1)^j a(i_0, \dots, \widehat{i_j}, \dots, i_{n+1}) \equiv 0 \pmod{A_{i_0} + \dots + A_{i_{n+1}}}$$

for all $i_0, \dots, i_{n+1} \in I$.

Theorem 3 *This condition is not sufficient in general.*

The above statement and its proof were suggested by Pierre Schapira and Terence Tao. — Recall that a lattice is **distributive** if one of the two operations is distributive over the other, or, equivalently, if each operation is distributive over the other.

Theorem 4 *If the indexing set I is finite and if the A_i generate a distributive lattice, then the above condition is sufficient.*

The above statement and its proof were suggested by Anton Deimtar.

1 Refinements

Let A be an abelian group and $(A_i)_{i \in I}$ a family of subgroups. Form the cochain complex

$$C^n(I) := \prod_{i_0, \dots, i_n \in I} \frac{A}{A_{i_0} + \dots + A_{i_n}} \quad , \quad d : C^{n-1}(I) \rightarrow C^n(I),$$

$$(df)(i_0, \dots, i_n) \equiv \sum_j (-1)^j f(i_0, \dots, \widehat{i_j}, \dots, i_n) \bmod A_{i_0} + \dots + A_{i_n}.$$

Let $(B_j)_{j \in J}$ be another family of subgroups. A map τ from J to I is a **refinement map** if

$$A_{\tau j} \subset B_j \quad \forall j \in J,$$

and J is a **refinement** of I if there is such a refinement map. A refinement map τ induces a cochain map, still denoted τ , from $C^n(I)$ to $C^n(J)$ defined by

$$(\tau f)(j_0, \dots, j_n) \equiv f(\tau j_0, \dots, \tau j_n) \bmod B_{j_0} + \dots + B_{j_n},$$

and thus a morphism τ^* from $H^n(I)$ to $H^n(J)$.

Let σ be another refinement map from J to I . We claim $\sigma^* = \tau^*$. Define the morphism h from $C^n(I)$ to $C^{n-1}(J)$ by

$$(hf)(j_0, \dots, j_{n-1}) := \sum_k (-1)^k f(\tau j_0, \dots, \tau j_k, \sigma j_k, \dots, \sigma j_{n-1}) \bmod B_{j_0} + \dots + B_{j_{n-1}}.$$

We have

$$dh + hd = \sigma - \tau,$$

which implies the claim.

Let $(A_i)_{i \in I}$ be as above, put

$$J := \{A_i \mid i \in I\},$$

let $(B_j)_{j \in J}$ be the tautological family, let π be the natural surjection from I onto J and ι a section of π . Then π and ι are refining maps, and $C(I)$ and $C(J)$ are homotopy equivalent.

2 Coefficient Systems

Recall that a **simplicial complex** is a set K equipped with a set of nonempty finite subsets, called **simplices** subject to the condition that a nonempty subset of a simplex is a simplex. A map between two simplicial complexes is **simplicial** if it maps simplices to simplices. For $n \geq 0$ let Δ_n be the set $\{0, 1, \dots, n\}$ equipped with the simplicial structure giving the status of simplex to all nonempty finite subsets, say that a **singular n -simplex** of K is a simplicial map from Δ_n to K , and let $S_n(K)$ be the set of singular n -simplices of K . For $n > 0$ and $i \in \Delta_n$ let f_i be the increasing map from Δ_{n-1} to Δ_n missing the vertex i .

Let $C_n(K)$ be the free abelian group generated $S_n(K)$ and consider the morphisms

$$\partial_n = \partial : C_n(K) \rightarrow C_{n-1}(K), \quad \partial s := \sum_i (-1)^i s f_i \quad \forall s \in C_n$$

for $n > 0$, and

$$\varepsilon : C_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}, \quad s \mapsto 1 \quad \forall s \in S_0(K).$$

Then $(C_*(K), \partial, \varepsilon)$ is an augmented chain complex.

Lemma 5 *Let s be in $S_n(K)$ and $\Phi(s)$ the subgroup of $C_*(K)$ generated by the singular simplices of the form sf with $f : \Delta_k \rightarrow \Delta_n$. Then $\Phi(s)$ is an acyclic augmented subcomplex of $C_*(K)$.*

Proof. It is clear that $\Phi(s)$ is a subcomplex of $C_*(K)$, and that

$$sf \mapsto (s0, sf0, \dots, sf n)$$

is a homotopy from the identity of $\Phi(s)$ to 0. QED

Let $\leq$ be an ordering on K and φ be the $\mathbb{Z}$ -linear endomorphism of $C_*(K)$ defined by

$\varphi s := s$ if s is noninjective,

$\varphi(s_0, \dots, s_n) := s - (-1)^\sigma (s\sigma_0, \dots, s\sigma_n)$ if s is injective, σ is the permutation characterized by $s\sigma_0 < \dots < s\sigma_n$, and $(-1)^\sigma$ is the signature of σ .

Then φ is an endomorphism of the augmented complex $(C_*(K), \partial, \varepsilon)$.

Lemma 6 *There is a homotopy h from φ to 0 such that hs is in $\Phi(s)$ for all $s \in S_n(K)$.*

Proof. Put $C_n := C_n(K)$, let h_0 be the zero morphism from C_0 to C_1 , and assume that we have morphisms $h_n : C_n \rightarrow C_{n+1}$ satisfying

$$\partial_{n+1} h_n = \varphi_n - h_{n-1} \partial_n$$

for $n < k$. We want to define $h_k : C_k \rightarrow C_{k+1}$ in such a way that we have

$$\partial_{k+1} h_k = \varphi_k - h_{k-1} \partial_k.$$

Observe

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_k (\varphi_k - h_{k-1} \partial_k) &= \varphi_{k-1} \partial_k - \partial_k h_{k-1} \partial_k \\ &= \varphi_{k-1} \partial_k - (\varphi_{k-1} - h_{k-2} \partial_{k-1}) \partial_k \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

and use the previous Lemma. QED

Let A be a ring (commutative with 1). Define the category K^Δ as follows. The objects of K^Δ are the singular simplices of K . The morphisms from $s \in S_n(K)$ to $t \in S_k(K)$ are the maps f from Δ_k to Δ_n such that $t = sf$, the composition being the obvious one. Say that a **coefficient system** over K is a functor from K^Δ to the category of A -modules.

If V is a coefficient system over K , then we denote by $V(s)$ the A -module attached to $s \in S_n(K)$ and by $V(s, f)$ the morphism from $V(s)$ to $V(sf)$ associated with the map f from Δ_k to Δ_n .

Denote by $c = (c(s))_{s \in S_n(K)} \in C^n(K, V)$ the vectors of the A -module

$$C^n(K, V) := \prod_{s \in S_n(K)} V(s).$$

and consider the morphisms

$$d_{n-1} = d : C^{n-1}(K, V) \rightarrow C^n(K, V), \quad (dc)(s) := \sum_i (-1)^i V(s, f_i) c(s)$$

for $n > 0$. Then $(C^*(K, V), d)$ is a cochain complex.

Assume $V_{s\sigma} = V_s$ whenever s is injective and σ is a permutation. Say that the cochain c of $C^n(K, V)$ is **alternating** if

- (a) $c(s) = 0$ whenever s is noninjective,
- (b) $c(s\sigma) = -c(s)$ whenever s is injective and σ is an odd permutation.

The alternating cochains form a subcomplex $C'^*(K, V)$ of $C^*(K, V)$.

If ψ is a $\mathbb{Z}$ -linear map from $C_p(K)$ to $C_q(K)$ of the form

$$\psi s = \sum_{f: \Delta_q \rightarrow \Delta_p} \lambda_{s,f} s f$$

with $\lambda_{s,f} \in \mathbb{Z}$, if c is in $C^q(K, V)$ and s in $S_p(K)$, we put

$$(h'c)(s) := \sum_f \lambda_{s,f} V(s, f) c(s).$$

We clearly have

Proposition 7 *In the above notation $1 - \varphi'$ is a projector onto $C'^*(K, V)$. Moreover if h is the homotopy of the previous Lemma, then h' is a homotopy from $1 - \varphi'$ to the identity. In particular the inclusion of $C'^*(K, V)$ into $C^*(K, V)$ is a quasi-isomorphism.*

3 Rings

By “ring” we mean “commutative ring with 1”. A **domain** is a nonzero ring which has no nontrivial zero divisors. An ideal of a ring is **prime** if the quotient is a domain.

Lemma 8 *The inverse image of a prime ideal under a ring morphism is prime.*

Proof. Left to the reader.

A subset S of a ring A is **multiplicative** if it contains 1 and is closed under multiplication. Let A be a ring, S a multiplicative subset and V an A -module. For $(v_1, s_1), (v_2, s_2) \in V \times S$ write $(v_1, s_1) \sim (v_2, s_2)$ if there is an $s \in S$ such that $s(s_1v_2 - s_2v_1) = 0$. This is an equivalence relation. Let $S^{-1}V$ be the quotient and denote the class of (v, s) by v/s , by $\frac{v}{s}$ or by $s^{-1}v$. For $(v_1, s_1), (v_2, s_2) \in V \times S, a \in A, v \in V$ put

$$\frac{v_1}{s_1} + \frac{v_2}{s_2} = \frac{s_2v_1 + s_1v_2}{s_1s_2}, \quad \frac{a}{s_1} \frac{v}{s_2} = \frac{av}{s_1s_2}.$$

These formulas equip respectively $S^{-1}A$ and $S^{-1}V$ with well defined structures of ring and $(S^{-1}A)$ -module. We say that $S^{-1}A$ and $S^{-1}V$ are respectively the **ring of fractions of A with denominators in S** and the **module of fractions of V with denominators in S** . The map

$$i_V : V \rightarrow S^{-1}V, \quad v \mapsto \frac{v}{1}$$

is called the **canonical morphism**.

Lemma 9 *The canonical morphism i_A is a ring morphism and i_V is an A -module morphism whose kernel consists of those elements of V which have an annihilator in S .*

Proof. Left to the reader.

Lemma 10 (Universal Property) *Any ring morphism $A \rightarrow B$ mapping S into the multiplicative group $B^\times$ factors uniquely through $S^{-1}A$. Under the same assumption, if V is an A -module and W a B -module, then any A -module morphism $V \rightarrow W$ factors uniquely through $S^{-1}V$, the induced map $S^{-1}V \rightarrow W$ being an $(S^{-1}A)$ -module morphism.*

Proof. Left to the reader.

Lemma 11 *The $(S^{-1}A)$ -modules $S^{-1}V$ and $S^{-1}A \otimes_A V$ are canonically isomorphic.*

Proof. Left to the reader.

Recall that an A -module F is **flat** if for all A -module monomorphism $W \hookrightarrow V$ the obvious morphism $F \otimes_A W \rightarrow F \otimes_A V$ is injective. The Lemma below, which follows from the previous one, will be freely used.

Lemma 12 *The A -module $S^{-1}A$ is flat.*

Let A be a ring, let S be a multiplicative subset, let i be the canonical morphism i_A , let $\mathcal{J}$ be the set of all ideals of $S^{-1}A$ and $\mathcal{I}$ the set of those ideals I of A such that the nonzero elements of A/I have no annihilators in S .

Lemma 13 *We have*

1. $S^{-1}I = (S^{-1}A)i(I)$ for all ideal I of A ;
2. $S^{-1}i^{-1}(J) = J$ for all $J \in \mathcal{J}$;
3. if I is an ideal of A , the ideal $i^{-1}(S^{-1}I)$ of A is formed by those a in A whose image in A/I has an annihilator in S ;
4. i^{-1} maps $\mathcal{J}$ bijectively onto $\mathcal{I}$ and the inverse bijection is given by S^{-1} :

$$\mathcal{I} \xrightleftharpoons[i^{-1}]{S^{-1}} \mathcal{J}.$$

5. for $J \in \mathcal{J}$ and $I := i^{-1}(J)$, the canonical morphism from $S^{-1}A$ to $S^{-1}(A/I)$ is surjective, its kernel is J and $i_{A/I}$ is injective;
6. a prime ideal of A is in $\mathcal{I}$ iff it is disjoint from S ;
7. S^{-1} and i^{-1} induce inverse bijections between the set of prime ideals of A disjoint from S and the set of prime ideals of $S^{-1}A$.

Proof. Follows from the previous Lemmas. QED

Lemma 14 *An ideal of A is prime iff its complement is multiplicative.*

Proof. Left to the reader.

If $S \subset A$ is the complement of a prime ideal P and V an A -module, we put

$$A_P := S^{-1}A, \quad V_P := S^{-1}V. \quad (1)$$

Lemma 15 *Let p be a prime number and (F_i) a family of finite abelian groups. Then the natural morphism*

$$\left(\prod_i F_i \right)_{(p)} \rightarrow \prod_i (F_i)_{(p)}$$

is bijective.

Proof. We can assume either that each F_i is a p -group, or that each F_i is a p_i -group for some prime $p_i \neq p$. QED

4 The local case

Let p be a prime number. Part 7 of Lemma 13 implies that the ideals of $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$ are precisely the powers of $p\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$. Let $J_1, J_2, \dots$ be a (possibly finite) decreasing sequence of ideals of $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$, and form the cochain complex

$$C^n := \prod_{i_0 < \dots < i_n \in I} \frac{\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}}{J_{i_0}}, \quad d : C^{n-1} \rightarrow C^n,$$

$$(df)(i_0, \dots, i_n) \equiv \sum_j (-1)^j f(i_0, \dots, \widehat{i_j}, \dots, i_n) \pmod{J_{i_0}}.$$

Proposition 16 *We have $H^n(C) = 0$ for $n > 0$.*

Proof. We denote by the same symbol an element of $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$ and its class modulo J_i . Let a be an n -cocycle of C , and define the element $x(i_1, \dots, i_n)$ of $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$ inductively on i_0 as follows. Put first

$$x(1, i_2, \dots, i_n) := 0.$$

For $i_1 > 1$ set $i_0 := i_1 - 1$ and

$$x(i_1, \dots, i_n) := - \sum_{j=1}^n (-1)^j x(i_0, \dots, \widehat{i_j}, \dots, i_n) + a(i_0, \dots, i_n).$$

Given $1 < i_1 < \dots < i_n$ prove

$$x(i_1, \dots, i_n) \equiv - \sum_{j=1}^n (-1)^j x(i_0, \dots, \widehat{i_j}, \dots, i_n) + a(i_0, \dots, i_n) \pmod{J_{i_0}}$$

successively for $i_0 = i_1 - 1, i_1 - 2, \dots, 1$. QED

5 Proof of the Theorems

Proof of Theorem 2. Let C be the cochain complex obtained by replacing A by $\mathbb{Z}$ and A_i by (i) in the definition of the cochain complex $C(I)$ at the outset of Section 1. Let n be a positive integer. By Lemma 12 we have $H^n(C)_{(p)} = H^n(C_{(p)})$. By Lemma 9 an abelian group A is trivial iff $A_{(p)} = 0$ for all p . The triviality of $H^n(C_{(p)})$ follows from Section 1, Proposition 7, Part 7 of Lemma 13, Lemma 15, and the above Proposition.

Proof of Theorem 3. Let V be a three dimensional vector space; let L_1, L_2, L_3, L_4 be four lines in general position; let C be the cochain complex denoted $C(I)$ in the beginning of Section 1. We claim $H^1(C) \neq 0$. By Proposition 7 we can replace the condition $i_0, \dots, i_n \in I$ in the definition of C by the condition $i_0 < \dots < i_n \in I$; in particular we get $C^n = 0$ for $n > 1$, and we claim that the coboundary d from

$$C^0 = \frac{V}{L_1} \oplus \frac{V}{L_2} \oplus \frac{V}{L_3} \oplus \frac{V}{L_4}$$

to

$$C^1 = \frac{V}{L_1 + L_2} \oplus \frac{V}{L_1 + L_3} \oplus \frac{V}{L_1 + L_4} \oplus \frac{V}{L_2 + L_3} \oplus \frac{V}{L_2 + L_4} \oplus \frac{V}{L_3 + L_4}$$

is **not** onto. But this follows from the fact that the kernel of d contains V .

Proof of Theorem 4. As a general notation, write $H^n((A_i), A)$ for the n -cohomology of the cochain complex defined at the beginning of Section 1. Theorem 4 results from Theorem 2 and the following fact, whose proof is left to the reader.

Lemma 17 *In the notation of the beginning of Section 1, assume that the lattice generated by the A_i is distributive, let B be one of the A_i , and n a positive integer. Then*

$$H^n((B \cap A_i), A) = 0 = H^n\left(\left(\frac{B + A_i}{B}\right), \frac{A}{B}\right) \Rightarrow H^n((A_i), A) = 0.$$